

589 So.2d 985  
District Court of Appeal of Florida,  
Fourth District.

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT  
CO. a corporation, Petitioner,

v.

Phillip GUETTLER, as Personal  
Representative of the Estate of Thomas  
J. Guettler, Deceased, Respondent.

No. 91-1769. | Nov. 13, 1991.  
| Rehearing Denied Jan. 7, 1992.

Petition for writ of certiorari was filed seeking to quash order of the Circuit Court for St. Lucie County, Rupert J. Smith, J., compelling discovery. The District Court of Appeal granted petition and held that petitioner did not waive any work-product privilege as to knowledge of its claims agent by virtue of agent's participation in responding to interrogatories.

Writ issued.

West Headnotes (1)

[1] **Pretrial Procedure**

 **Work-Product Privilege**

Litigant did not waive any work-product privilege as to knowledge of its claims agent by virtue of fact that agent participated in responding to interrogatories.

[1 Cases that cite this headnote](#)

**Attorneys and Law Firms**

\*985 [John B. Marion](#) of Sellars, Supran, Cole, Marion & Espy, P.A., and [Marjorie Gadarian Graham](#) of Marjorie Gadarian Graham, P.A., West Palm Beach, for petitioner.

[Sharon L. Wolfe](#) and Linda G. Katsin of Cooper & Wolfe, P.A., and Richard V. Neill of Neill, Griffin Jeffries & Lloyd, Fort Pierce, and [Dean Colson](#) of Colson, Hicks, Eidson, Colson & Matthews, Miami, for respondent.

**Opinion**

PER CURIAM.

We grant the petition for writ of certiorari and quash the order of the trial court compelling discovery. *See Waste Management Inc. of Florida v. Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Co.*, 544 So.2d 1133 (Fla. 4th DCA 1989). Our ruling is without prejudice to further proceedings in the trial court to make particularized findings as to what information may be protected by the petitioner's work product privilege, what information is not, and whether any protected information is subject to discovery under [Florida Rules of Civil Procedure 1.280\(b\)\(3\)](#). We reject respondent's claim that petitioner waived any work product privilege as to the knowledge of its claims agent because the claims agent participated in responding to interrogatories.

ANSTEAD, [POLEN](#) and GARRETT, JJ., concur.

**All Citations**

589 So.2d 985, 16 Fla. L. Weekly D2845